## ORGANIC LETTERS

2013 Vol. 15, No. 16 4146–4149

## Lewis Base Catalyzed Synthesis of Multisubstituted 4-Sulfonyl-1*H*-Pyrazole Involving a Novel 1,3-Sulfonyl Shift

Yu Zhu, Wen-Ting Lu, Hong-Chao Sun, and Zhuang-Ping Zhan\*

Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian, P. R. China

zpzhan@xmu.edu.cn

Received June 28, 2013

## **ABSTRACT**

A facile synthesis of highly substituted 4-sulfonyl-1*H*-pyrazoles from *N*-propargylic sulfonylhydrazone derivatives has been developed. Allenic sulfonamide formation and 1,3-sulfonyl shift were established to be the critical steps of this transformation.

Pyrazoles and their derivatives have been recognized as important frameworks in pharmaceutical and agrochemical science, and certain pyrazole derivatives including Celebrex (selective COX-2 inhibition) and Zoniporide (selective human NHE-1 inhibition) have been developed into clinical drugs. Owing to the attractive medicinal properties of pyrazoles, new approaches for the efficient

(1) (a) Penning, T. D.; Talley, J. J.; Bertenshaw, S. R.; Carter, J. S.; Collins, P. W.; Docter, S.; Graneto, M. J.; Lee, L. F.; Malecha, J. W.; Miyashiro, J. M. *J. Med. Chem.* **1997**, *40*, 1347. (b) Guzman-Perez, A.; Wester, R. T.; Allen, M. C.; Brown, J. A.; Buchholz, A. R.; Cook, E. R.; Day, W. W.; Hamanaka, E. S.; Kennedy, S. P.; Knight, D. R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 803. (c) Chimenti, F.; Fioravanti, R.; Bolasco, A.; Manna, F.; Chimenti, P.; Secci, D.; Befani, O.; Turini, P.; Ortuso, F.; Alcaro, S. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 425. (d) Okuno, S.; Saito, A.; Hayashi, T.; Chan, P. H. J. Neurosci. 2004, 24, 7879. (e) Wasylyk, C. Zheng, H.; Castell, C.; Debussche, L.; Multon, M.-C.; Wasylyk, B. Cancer Res. 2008, 68, 1275. (f) Wu, C.-H.; Hung, M.-S.; Song, J.-S.; Yeh, T.-K.; Chou, M.-C.; Chu, C.-M.; Jan, J.-J.; Hsieh, M.-T.; Tseng, S.-L.; Chang, C.-P. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 4496. (g) Ivachtchenko, A. V.; Golovina, E. S.; Kadieva, M. G.; Kysil, V. M.; Mitkin, O. D.; Tkachenko, S. E.; Okun, I. M. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 8161. (h) Ivachtchenko, A. V.; Dmitriev, D. E.; Golovina, E. S.; Kadieva, M. G.; Koryakova, A. G.; Kysil, V. M.; Mitkin, O. D.; Okun, I. M.; Tkachenko, S. E.; Vorobiev, A. A. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 5186. (i) Ivachtchenko, A. V.; Golovina, E. S.; Kadieva, M. G.; Kysil, V. M.; Mitkin, O. D.; Vorobiev, A. A.; Okun, I. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 4273.

(2) For reviews on the synthesis of pyrazole, see: (a) Fustero, S.; Sainchez-Roselloi, M.; Barrio, P.; Simoin-Fuentes, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 6984. (b) Janin, Y. L. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 3924. (c) Dadiboyena, S.; Nefzi, A. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 46, 5258. (d) Svete, J. ARKIVOC 2006, 7, 35. (e) Anwar, H.; Elnagdi, M. ARKIVOC 2009, 1, 198. (f) Makino, K.; Kim, H. S.; Kurasawa, Y. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1998, 35, 489. (g) Makino, K.; Kim, H. S.; Kurasawa, Y. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1999, 36, 321.

assembly of different pyrazoles have attracted great interest. Efficient strategies have been developed with the aim of the preparation of diverse substitution pyrazoles.<sup>2</sup> Conventional approaches for the synthesis of compounds containing pyrazole skeletons involve either the modification of the pre-existing pyrazole precursors through the introduction of new groups<sup>3</sup> or synthesis of a new pyrazole ring from creation of C–N and C–C bonds.<sup>4</sup>

Previous studies from our group have reported a concise approach to prepared *N*-propargylic *N*-sulfonylhydrazones through FeCl<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed nucleophilic substitution

<sup>(3)</sup> For recent examples, see: (a) Ye, M.; Edmunds, A. J.; Morris, J. A.; Sale, D.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2374. (b) Goikhman, R.; Jacques, T. L.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3042. (c) Grosse, S.; Pillard, C.; Massip, S.; Léger, J. M.; Jarry, C.; Bourg, S.; Bernard, P.; Guillaumet, G. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 14943. (d) Yan, T.; Chen, L.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Doucet, H. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 7659. (e) Pan, X.; Luo, Y.; Wu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5756. (f) Ma, W.; Graczyk, K.; Ackermann, L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6318. (g) Mateos, C.; Mendiola, J.; Carpintero, M.; Minguez, J. M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4924. (h) Enders, D.; Grossmann, A.; Gieraths, B.; Düzdemir, M.; Merkens, C. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4254.

<sup>(4)</sup> For recent examples, see: (a) Neumann, J. J.; Suri, M.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7790. (b) Kinjo, R.; Donnadieu, B.; Bertrand, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5560. (c) Hao, L.; Hong, J. J.; Zhu, J.; Zhan, Z. P. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 5715. (d) Wang, L.; Yu, X.; Feng, X.; Bao, M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1693. (e) Attanasi, O. A.; Favi, G.; Geronikaki, A.; Mantellini, F.; Moscatelli, G.; Paparisva, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2624. (f) Wang, H.; Zhao, Y. L.; Li, L.; Zhang, Z. W.; Liu, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1540. (g) Wang, L.; Huang, J.; Gong, X.; Wang, J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 7555. (h) Li, X.; He, L.; Chen, H.; Wu, W.; Jiang, H. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3636. (i) Dissanayake, A. A.; Odom, A. L. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 440.

of propargylic acetates with *N*-sulfonylhydrazones.<sup>6</sup> The *N*-propargylic substitution products underwent a copper-(I)-catalyzed [3,3]-rearrangement affording (1*E*,3*E*)-2-sulfonyl-1,3-dienes (Scheme 1).<sup>6a</sup> As part of ongoing research on *N*-propargylic sulfonylhydrazones, we herein report a Lewis base catalyzed synthesis of 4-sulfonyl-1*H*-pyazoles in moderate to good yields. Allenic sulfonamide formation and 1,3-sulfonyl shift were established to be critical steps in this transformation.

Scheme 1. Reaction of N-Propargylic Sulfonylhydrazones

Recently, base-promoted construction of pyrroles,<sup>5a</sup> oxazoles,<sup>5b</sup> and imidazoles<sup>5c</sup> from propargylic amides or propargylic amines involving sulfonyl group shift have been developed. Different from those transformations, which introduced the sulfonyl group to the exocyclic alkyl group of heterocycles,<sup>5</sup> here we report a sulfonyl group migration to the 4-position of 1*H*-pyrazole. The recent studies indicate that pyrazole derivatives containing a sulfonyl substituent display a variety of biological activities.<sup>1g-i</sup>

In our initial study, we decided to investigate the reaction conditions that would improve the yield of 3a using 1a as the reactant (Table 1). We treated the 1a in 1,2-dichloroethane with 5 mol % of DMAP at 80 °C. The desired product 3a was observed in 40% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The reaction also took place in solvents such as THF (52%), PhMe (47%), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (50%), and dioxane (15%) (Table 1, entries 2, 3, 4, and 5). Subsequently, the synthesis of pyrazole was investigated in the mixed solvents of THF and NEt<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, entries 6–8). The best mixture volume ratio for THF and NEt<sub>3</sub> was 5:1, which afforded the product 3a in 78% yield (Table 1, entry 7). When Lewis bases PPh<sub>3</sub> or DABCO were utilized in the same mixsolvent, the reaction proceeded to afford 3a in 60% and 10% yields respectively (Table 1, entries 9 and 10). Other bases, such as DBU and Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, were also screened but failed to promote this transformation (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). However, the reaction occurred to afford 2a in 81% yield at room temperature for 0.5 h, and no desired product 3a was detected after the reaction time was prolonged (Table 1, entry 13). Absence of catalyst led to complete recovery of **1a** (Table 1, entry 14). Thus, the most suitable reaction conditions for the formation of **3a** were established (Table 1, entry 7).

**Table 1.** Screening for the Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>

entry	catalyst (5 mol %)	solvent	time (h)	$yield^{b}(\%)$ of $3a(2a)$
1	DMAP	DME	4	40
2	DMAP	THF	4	52
3	DMAP	PhMe	6	47
4	DMAP	$\mathrm{CH_{3}CN}$	3	50
5	DMAP	dioxane	1	15
6	DMAP	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}{}^c = 3:1$	3	76
7	DMAP	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=5:1$	3	78
8	DMAP	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=100:1$	4	56
9	$\mathrm{PPh}_3$	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=5:1$	4	60
10	DABCO	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=5:1$	4	10
11	DBU	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=5:1$	4	trace
12	$\mathrm{Cs_2CO_3}$	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=5:1$	4	trace
13	DMAP	$V_{\rm T}:V_{\rm N}=5:1$	0.5	$0 (81)^d$
14		$V_{\mathrm{T}}$ : $V_{\mathrm{N}}$ = 5:1	4	$\mathrm{n.r.}^e$

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), solvent (5 mL), 80 °C. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup> Mixture volume ratio of THF and NEt<sub>3</sub>. <sup>d</sup>The reaction was performed at room temperature. <sup>e</sup> n.r. = no reaction.

To broaden the scope of this reaction, we carried out the reaction of the N-propargylic N-sulfonylhydrazone derivatives 1 under the optimized conditions (summarized in Table 2). The substrates  $\mathbf{1a}$  ( $\mathbf{R}^1 = 4\text{-MeC}_6\mathbf{H}_4$ ) and  $\mathbf{1b}$  $(R^1 = Ph)$  gave the desired results, providing 3a and 3b in 78% and 64% yields, respectively (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). The electron-donating N-sulfonylhydrazone 1c ( $R^1$  = 4-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) was also successfully employed in the reaction to give product 3c in 61% yield (Table 2, entry 3). The electron-deficient N-sulfonylhydrazone  $1d(R^1 = 4-BrC_6H_4)$ reacted smoothly affording the product 3d in 83% yield (Table 2, entry 4). The results suggested that reactant 1e  $(R^1 = Me)$  failed to form 4-methylsulfonyl-1*H*-pyrazole (3e) (Table 2, entry 5). Gratifyingly, reactants (1f-k)bearing a terminal alkyne group  $(R^4 = H)$  successfully afforded the desired products (3f-k) in good isolated yields in a short reaction time (Table 2, entries 6-11). The reactant  $11(R^4 = TMS)$  underwent protodesilylation under these base cyclization conditions with the same products 3f obtained in 47% yield (Table 2, entry 12). No reaction of  $\mathbf{1m} (\mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{H})$  and  $\mathbf{1n} (\mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{H}_5)$  occurred under normal conditions (Table 2, entries 13 and 14). Unfortunately, the results suggested that internal alkynes 10 ( $R^4 = n-C_4H_9$ ) failed to form the corresponding pyrazole. Other internal alkynes 1p and 1q  $(R^4)$ t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub> and 1-cyclohexenal) afforded allenamides **2p** and 2q as the major products (Table 2, entries 16 and 17).

Org. Lett., Vol. 15, No. 16, 2013

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Xin, X.; Wang, D.; Li, X.; Wan, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 1693. (b) Yu, X.; Xin, X.; Wan, B.; Li, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 4895. (c) Jiang, Z.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 6266.

<sup>(6) (</sup>a) Zhu, Y.; Tang, H. T.; Zhan, Z. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2013**, 355, 1291. (b) Zhan, Z.-p.; Yu, J.-l.; Liu, H.-j.; Cui, Y.-y.; Yang, R.-f.; Yang, W.-z.; Li, J.-p. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 8298.

**Table 2.** DMAP-Catalyzed Formation of 4-Sulfonyl 1*H*-Pyrazoles<sup>a</sup>

		1		3 -	
entry	/ reactant	product	yield <sup>b</sup>	entry reactant product	yield <sup>b</sup>
1 2 3	1a (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-MePh) 1b (R <sup>1</sup> = Ph) 1c (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-MeOPh)	Ph NPh	78% (3a) 64% (3b) 61% (3c)	16 1p (R <sup>4</sup> = t-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ) 17 1q (R <sup>4</sup> = 1-Cyclohexenal)	92% ( <b>2</b> p) <sup>d</sup> 87% ( <b>2</b> q) <sup>d</sup>
4 5	1d (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-BrPh) 1e (R <sup>1</sup> = Me)	Ph R¹SO <sub>2</sub>	83% ( <b>3d</b> ) 0 ( <b>3e</b> )	$ \begin{array}{ccc} R^4 \\ \vdots \\ (R^1 = 4 \text{-MeC}_6H_4; R^2 = R^3 = P \end{array} $	h)
7 8	1f (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ; R <sup>2</sup> = R <sup>3</sup> = Ph) 1g (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ; R <sup>2</sup> = Ph, R <sup>3</sup> = 4-BrC <sub>6</sub> 1h (R <sup>1</sup> = R <sup>2</sup> = R <sup>3</sup> = Ph)	$(R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = P)$ $(R^4 = R^3 = R^4 = P)$ $(R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = P)$ $(R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = P)$	86% (3f) 85% (3g) 87% (3h)	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	91% (3r) 0 (3s) 50% (3t) 95% (3u) 85% (3v) 88% (3w)
10	1i (R <sup>1</sup> = R <sup>2</sup> = Ph; R <sup>3</sup> = 4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) 1j (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ; R <sup>2</sup> = Ph; R <sup>3</sup> = 4-MeO <sub>6</sub> 1k (R <sup>1</sup> = 4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ; R <sup>2</sup> = 4-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ; R <sup>3</sup>	$C_6H_4$ ) $R^3$ $R^1SO_2$ = Ph) $(R^4 = H)$	88% (3i) 82% (3j) 83% (3k)	24 1x (R <sup>2</sup> =1-Naphthyl) Ph	86% ( <b>3x</b> ) 75% ( <b>3y</b> )
13 14	11 (R <sup>3</sup> = Ph; R <sup>4</sup> = TMS) 1m (R <sup>3</sup> = R <sup>4</sup> = H) 1n (R <sup>3</sup> = C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ; R <sup>4</sup> = Ph) 1o (R <sup>3</sup> = Ph; R <sup>4</sup> = n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> )	$R^3$ $R^4$ $R^3$ $T_S$ $R^1 = 4-MeC_6H_4; R^2 = F_8$	47% (3f) n.r.° n.r.° Complex	$(R^{1} = 4 - MeC_{e}H_{4}; R^{3} = R^{4} = P)$ $Ph \sim N \cdot N \cdot Ts$ $Ts \cdot N \cdot N \rightarrow Ph$ $Ph \sim N \cdot N \rightarrow Ph$ $Ts \cdot N \cdot N \rightarrow Ph$ $Ts \cdot N \cdot N \rightarrow Ph$	h) 58% ( <b>3z</b> ) <sup>c</sup> h

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), DMAP (0.025 mmol), solvent (5 mL), 80 °C. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup> n.r. = no reaction. <sup>d</sup> No future reactions occurred by prolonging reaction time.

Electron-neutral, electron-deficient, and electron-rich aromatic groups (R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>3</sup>) on 1r and 1t-w were all tolerated, and the desired products (3r and 3t-w) were obtained in moderate-to-good yields (50-91%, Table 2, entries 18 and 20-23). The reaction of 1s ( $\mathbb{R}^2$  = 2-OHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) containing weakly acidic hydroxyl group failed to afforded 3s (Table 2, entry 19). The substrates 1x and 1v bearing a fused ring or thiophene were also suitable for the transformations (Table 2, entries 24 and 25). Notably, starting from 1z, we could finally obtain a symmetrical oligomer 3z containing two pyrazole rings (Table 2, entry 26). However, when sulfonyl group on 1a was replaced by an acetyl group, the reaction afforded allenic N-acetylhydrazones only, and the subsequent cyclization did not occur. It proved that migration of the sulfonyl group played a critical role in cyclization. The structure of 3w was unambiguously demonstrated by X-ray diffraction analysis (see the Supporting Information).

Attempts were made to probe the mechanism (Scheme 2, eq 1). In our previous study, allenic sulfonamide **2a** was obtained at room temperature (Table 1, entry 13). Therefore, we assumed that **2a** was the intermediate which led to

**3a**. Indeed, **2a** completely converted into pyrazole **3a** (97% yield) under the standard conditions (Scheme 2, eq 1, conditionc B). No reaction occurred was obtained at room temperature without Lewis base, indicating that the allenamide formation and cyclization reactions were both catalyzed by a single catalyst DMAP (Scheme 2, eq 1, conditions A).

Scheme 2. Conversion of Allene to Pyrazole and Crossover Experiment of  $\mathbf{1f}$  and  $\mathbf{1i}^a$ 

On the basis of the above experiments and related reports, <sup>8,9</sup> we propose the following mechanism (Scheme 3). In the presence of base, the propargylic amide moiety of **1** is transformed into the allenic sulfonamide intermediate **2**. Two reasonable pathways accounting for the 1,3-sulfonyl

4148 Org. Lett., Vol. 15, No. 16, 2013

<sup>(7)</sup> CCDC 943608 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

shift and formation of 7 are shown. In path I, Lewis base facilitates the sulfonyl dissociation, and then intermolecular addition occurs to afford intermediate 7. In path II, nucleophilic addition of Lewis base B such as DMAP to the terminal sp<sup>2</sup> carbon atom of allene pushs electron density into the sulfonamide moiety to afford transition state 4, then the N-S bond is broken which allows the 1,3-sulfonyl shift and generates transition state 6, and then electron transfer affords 7.  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated imine 7 undergoes intramolecular Michael addition providing zwitterionic species 8. Finally, 8 rearranges to pyrolyze 3 via a 1,3-H shift and electron transfer.

In order to establish whether the shift of the sulfonyl group occurred in an intramolecular or intermolecular pathway, we performed a crossover experiment between equimolar amounts of reactants **1f** and **1i** which yields the corresponding products **3f** and **3i** in 82% and 83% yields, respectively, and the crossover products **3g** and **3h** were not detected (Scheme 2, eq 2, determined by HPLC). This result clearly indicated that migration of the sulfonyl group proceeds in an intramolecular manner. Therefore, path **II** might be the possible pathway envisioned for this 1,3-sulfonyl migration.

In summary, a facile approach for the synthesis of highly substituted multisubstituted 4-sulfonyl-1*H*-pyrazole from *N*-propargylic *N*-sulfonylhydrazone derivatives has been developed. A key feature of the reaction is the straightforward

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism

introduction of sulfonyl group to the 4-position of 1*H*-pyrazole. Studies aiming at exploring mechanistic aspects of this reaction and developing further transformations of *N*-propargylic *N*-sulfonylhydrazone derivatives are ongoing.

**Acknowledgment.** Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21072159 and 21272190) and PCSIRT at Xiamen University is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and characterization of compounds 3a-k,r-z and 2a,p,q. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Org. Lett., Vol. 15, No. 16, 2013

<sup>(8)</sup> For reviews and recent examples of Lewis base catalysis, see: (a) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1560. (b) Heinrich, M. R.; Klisa, H. S.; Mayr, H.; Steglich, W.; Zipse, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4826. (c) Yoshida, M.; Saito, K.; Fujino, Y.; Doi, T. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11796. (d) Dadwal, M.; Mobin, S. M.; Namboothiri, I. N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2525. (e) Hills, I. D.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3921. (f) Yoshida, M.; Fujino, Y.; Saito, K.; Doi, T. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 9993.

<sup>(9)</sup> For representative examples of sulfonyl shift, see: (a) Bendikov, M.; Duong, H. M.; Bolanos, E.; Wudl, F. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 783. (b) Yeom, H. S.; So, E.; Shin, S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 1764. (c) Nakamura, I.; Yamagishi, U.; Song, D.; Konta, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 119, 2284. (d) Nakamura, I.; Yamagishi, U.; Song, D.; Konta, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem.—Asian J. 2008, 3, 285. (e) Prasad, B.; Adepu, R.; Sandra, S.; Rambabu, D.; Krishna, G. R.; Reddy, C. M.; Deora, G. S.; Misra, P.; Pal, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10434. (f) DeKorver, K. A.; Johnson, W. L.; Zhang, Y.; Hsung, R. P.; Dal, H.; Deng, J.; Lohse, A. G.; Zhang, Y.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5092. (g) Zhu, Y.; Wen, S.; Yin, G.; Hong, D.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3553. (h) Lee, Y. T.; Chung, Y. K. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4698.